

Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

DW #				
Bill # HB0178		Title: Revise	laws and funding for uni	iversity system
Primary Sponsor: Wilmer, F.		Status: As Intr	oduced	
☐ Significant Local Gov Impac	t	luded in HB 2	Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Bu	dget Significant Long-	-Term Impacts	Dedicated Revenue I	Form Attached
	FISCAL S	SUMMARY		
	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
T. 114	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Expenditures: General Fund	\$3,200,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Bala	(\$3,200,000)	(\$900,000)	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal Impact:</u> The bill prescribes the amount of general fund to be included in the base budget of the Montana University System current unrestricted operating budgets of the educational units.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. The bill is effective for the 2009 biennial budget.
- 2. The base budget equals the present law budget contained in HB 2 (General Appropriations Act) and does not include pay plan.
- 3. The pay plan from the 2009 biennium becomes part of the present law base in the 2011 biennium and the Governor's pay plan is adopted as recommended with 85% of the funding coming from general fund.
- 4. The current unrestricted base budget of the educational units of the Montana University System was funded with approximately 35 percent general fund and 36 percent resident tuition in the 2007 biennium.

Resident and Non Resident Identified Seperately

	2007 Biennium	Biennial	
	(millions)	Percentage	
State Appropriations			
General Fund	\$223.3	35%	
6 Mill	\$27.1	4%	
Tuition and Other Sources			
Resident Tuition	\$230.6	36%	
Non-Resident Tuition	\$154.9	24%	
Other	\$7.0	1%	

5. In FY 2008 & 2009, the general fund budget base budget would have to be increased by about \$3.2 million and \$0.9 million above the Governor's budget to equal the required resident share.

Amount Required to be paid from General Fund in 2009 Biennium			
	FY 2008 (millions)	FY 2009 (millions)	
Current Present Law Budget	\$340.0	\$344.0	
Resident Student % from Previous			
Biennium	36%	36%	
Required General Fund for HB 178	\$122.4	\$123.8	
Present Law General Fund in Executive			
Budget	\$119.2	\$122.9	
Increase in General Fund	\$3.2	\$0.9	

- 6. The Board of Regent's may reduce resident or non-resident tuition or increase expenditures as a result of this legislation.
- 7. Note that unless the Board of Regents increases spending above the Governor's budget, the final general fund share, after pay plan is added, will be higher than the required 36% in the 2009 biennium.
- 8. Depending on resident and non-resident tuition decisions by the Board of Regents, the resident student tuition share could be less than 36% in the 2009 biennium. If the resident student tuition share is lower in the 2009 biennium, a lower general fund share will be required. For the 2011 biennium, it is assumed that the required spending will be the same as the current present law level.

	FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference
Fiscal Impact:				
Expenditures:				
Transfers	\$3,200,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Expenditures	\$3,200,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$0
Funding of Expenditures: General Fund (01) TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$3,200,000 \$3,200,000	\$900,000 \$900,000	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Revenues:				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):				
General Fund (01)	(\$3,200,000)	(\$900,000)	\$0	\$0

Technical Concern:

- 1. The bill does address the calculation of the state plan. The pay plan bill in addition to the present law budget contained in HB 2.
- 2. The clarity of the bill would be improved if the term "base budget" were more specifically defined.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date